

# GENERALIZED THEORIES OF GRAVITY AND CONFORMAL CONTINUATIONS

K.A. Bronnikov<sup>1,†,‡</sup> and M.S. Chernakova<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Centre of Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya St., Moscow 119361, Russia*

<sup>‡</sup> *Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia  
6 Miklukho-Maklaya St., Moscow 117198, Russia*

Many theories of gravity admit formulations in different, conformally related manifolds, known as the Jordan and Einstein conformal frames. Among them are various scalar-tensor theories of gravity and high-order theories with the Lagrangian  $f(R)$  where  $R$  is the scalar curvature and  $f$  is an arbitrary function. It may happen that a singularity in the Einstein frame corresponds to a regular surface  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  in the Jordan frame, and the solution is then continued beyond this surface. This phenomenon is called a conformal continuation (CC). We discuss the properties of vacuum static, spherically symmetric configurations of arbitrary dimension in scalar-tensor and  $f(R)$  theories of gravity and indicate necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions admitting a CC. Two cases are distinguished, when  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  is an ordinary regular sphere and when it is a Killing horizon. Two explicit examples of CCs are presented.

## 1. Introduction

High-order theories of gravity with the Lagrangian  $L = f(R)$  and scalar-tensor theories (STT) are well-known and important alternatives to Einstein's general relativity. They are widely used, in particular, for describing inflation in the early Universe [1], for explaining its present-day accelerated expansion [2] and in many other applications. One can also mention that curvature-nonlinear corrections to the Einstein theory emerge due to quantum effects of material fields in curved space [3].

There is a conformal mapping from the manifold  $\mathbb{M}_J$  with the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , where a theory (STT or  $f(R)$  gravity) is initially formulated (it is called the Jordan conformal frame, or Jordan picture), to the manifold  $\mathbb{M}_E$  with the metric  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}/F(x)$  (the Einstein picture), in which the equations of the original theory turn into the equations of general relativity with a scalar field  $\phi$  endowed with a certain potential  $V(\phi)$  (see, e.g., [4] and references therein). If the conformal factor  $F(x)$  is everywhere regular, then the basic physical properties of the manifolds  $\mathbb{M}_J$  and  $\mathbb{M}_E$  coincide since, in such transformations, a flat asymptotic in  $\mathbb{M}_J$  maps to a flat asymptotic in  $\mathbb{M}_E$ , a horizon to a horizon, a centre to a centre. Of special interest are, however, the cases when a singularity in  $\mathbb{M}_E$  maps (due to the properties of  $F(x)$ ) to a regular surface in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ . Then  $\mathbb{M}_J$  may be continued in a regular manner beyond this surface; this phenomenon has been termed conformal continuation [5]. In such cases the global properties of the manifold  $\mathbb{M}_J$  can be much richer than those of  $\mathbb{M}_E$ . The new region

may, in particular, contain a horizon or another spatial infinity.

From a more general viewpoint, a possible existence of conformal continuations may mean that the observed Universe is only a region of a real, much larger Universe which should be described in another, more fundamental conformal frame. Detailed discussions of the physical meaning and role of different conformal frames may be found in Refs. [6, 7].

In this work we discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of conformal continuations (CC) in vacuum static, spherically symmetric space-times of arbitrary dimension  $D \geq 3$  in STT and  $f(R)$  theories and present two specific examples.

## 2. Field equations

**$f(R)$  gravity.** Consider high-order gravity (HOG) with the action

$$S_{\text{HOG}} = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} f(R) \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is a function of the scalar curvature  $R$  calculated for the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  of a space-time  $\mathbb{M}_J = \mathbb{M}_J[g]$ . In accord with the weak field limit  $f \sim R$  at small  $R$ , we assume  $f(R) > 0$  and  $f_R \equiv df/dR > 0$ , at least in a certain range of  $R$  including  $R = 0$ , but admit  $f_R < 0$  and maybe  $f < 0$  in general.

The conformal mapping  $\mathbb{M}_J \mapsto \mathbb{M}_E$  with

$$g_{\mu\nu} = F(\psi) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad F = |f_R|^{-2/(D-2)}, \quad (2)$$

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: kb20@yandex.ru

transforms the “Jordan-frame” action (1) into the Einstein-frame action

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} [\bar{R} + (\partial\psi)^2 - 2V(\psi)], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \pm \sqrt{\frac{D-1}{D-2}} \log |f_R|, \\ 2V(\psi) &= |f_R|^{-D/(D-2)} (R|f_R| - f). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The field equations due to (1) after this substitution turn into the field equations due to (3). Let us write them down for static, spherically symmetric configurations, taking the metric  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  in the form

$$ds_E^2 = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = A(\rho) dt^2 - \frac{d\rho^2}{A(\rho)} - r^2(\rho) d\Omega_{\bar{d}}^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $d\Omega_{\bar{d}}^2$  is the linear element on a sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{\bar{d}}$  of unit radius, and  $\psi = \psi(\rho)$ . Three independent combinations of the Einstein equations can be written as

$$(A_\rho r^{\bar{d}})_\rho = -(4/\bar{d}) r^{\bar{d}} V, \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{d} r_{\rho\rho}/r = -\psi_\rho^2, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(r^2)_{\rho\rho} - r^2 A_{\rho\rho} \\ + (\bar{d} - 2) r_\rho (2A r_\rho - A_\rho r) = 2(\bar{d} - 1); \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the subscript  $\rho$  denotes  $d/d\rho$ . The scalar field equation follows from the Einstein equations. Given a potential  $V(\psi)$ , (6)–(8) is a determined set of equations for the unknowns  $r$ ,  $A$ ,  $\psi$ .

The metric  $g_{\mu\nu} = F \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  may be taken in a form similar to (5):

$$ds_J^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \mathcal{A}(q) dt^2 - \frac{dq^2}{\mathcal{A}(q)} - r_*^2(q) d\Omega_{\bar{d}}^2. \quad (9)$$

The quantities in (9) and (5) are related by

$$\mathcal{A}(q) = F A(\rho), \quad r_*^2(q) = F r^2(\rho), \quad dq = \pm F d\rho. \quad (10)$$

In both metrics (5) and (9) we have chosen the “quasiglobal” radial coordinates [8] ( $\rho$ – $q$ , respectively), which are convenient for describing Killing horizons: near a horizon  $\rho = \rho_h$ , the function  $A(\rho)$  behaves as  $(\rho - \rho_h)^k$  where  $k$  is the horizon order:  $k = 1$  corresponds to a simple, Schwarzschild-type horizon,  $k = 2$  to a double horizon, like that in an extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole etc. The function  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  plays a similar role in the metric (9).

**General scalar-tensor theory.** The action in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ , instead of (1), has the form

$$S_{\text{STT}} = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} [f(\phi) R + h(\phi) (\partial\phi)^2 - 2U(\phi)], \quad (11)$$

where  $f$ ,  $h$  and  $U$  are functions of the real scalar field  $\phi$ ,  $(\partial\phi)^2 = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$ .

The conformal mapping  $\mathbb{M}_J \mapsto \mathbb{M}_E$  with

$$g_{\mu\nu} = F(\psi) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad F = |f|^{-2/(D-2)}, \quad (12)$$

transforms (11) into the same Einstein-frame action (3), where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi}{d\phi} &= \pm \frac{\sqrt{|l(\phi)|}}{f(\phi)}, \quad l(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} fh + \frac{D-1}{D-2} \left( \frac{df}{d\phi} \right)^2, \\ V(\psi) &= |f|^{-D/(D-2)} (\phi) U(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In  $\mathbb{M}_E$  we have the same Eqs. (6)–(8).

### 3. Conformal continuations: conditions and properties

Consider the possible situation when the metric  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  is singular at some value of  $\rho$  while  $g_{\mu\nu}$  at the corresponding value of  $q$  is regular. In such a case  $\mathbb{M}_J$  can be continued in a regular manner through this surface (to be denoted  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ ), i.e., by definition [5, 9], we have a conformal continuation (CC).

In our case of spherical symmetry, the sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}} \in \mathbb{M}_J$  may be either an ordinary sphere, where both metric coefficients  $r_*^2$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are finite (we label such a continuation CC-I), or a Killing horizon at which  $r_*^2$  is finite but  $\mathcal{A} = 0$  (to be labelled CC-II).

Without loss of generality, we suppose for convenience that at  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  the coordinate values are  $\rho = 0$  and  $q = 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  in  $\mathbb{M}_E$  outside  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ . According to (10), we must have, in terms of  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$F^{-1} \sim r^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \rightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

and, in addition,  $A(\rho) \sim r^2(\rho)$  for CC-I, whereas for CC-II we must have in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ :  $\mathcal{A}(q) \sim q^n$  at small  $q$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the order of the horizon.

Let us use the field equations in  $\mathbb{M}_E$  for further estimates. Eq. (8) may be put in the form

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \left( r^D \frac{dB}{d\rho} \right) = -2(\bar{d} - 1) r^{\bar{d}-2}, \quad (15)$$

where the function  $B(\rho) = A/r^2 = B(q) = \mathcal{A}/r_*^2$  is invariant under conformal transformations and should be finite at  $\rho = q = 0$ . Moreover, since  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  is a regular sphere in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ ,  $B(q)$  should be a smooth function near  $q = 0$ .

It can be shown [10] that: 1) for  $D = 3$  a CC can only exist if  $B = B_0 = \text{const}$ ; 2) for  $D > 3$  the function  $B(q)$  behaves near  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  as

$$B(q) = B_0 + \frac{1}{2} B_2 q^2 + o(q^2), \quad B_2 \neq 0, \quad (16)$$

where  $B_2 < 0$ , i.e., the function  $B(q)$  has a maximum at  $q = 0$ .

All this was obtained by comparing the metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ , without specifying a theory in which the CC takes place, and for both kinds of transitions, CC-I and CC-II. Both kinds of transitions are thus possible for

$D > 3$ , and, in particular, in CC-II  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  is a double horizon connecting two  $T$  regions (since  $B = \mathcal{A}/r_*^2$  is negative at both sides of  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ ).

In 3D gravity only CC-I can take place: a horizon, at which  $B = 0$  but  $B \neq 0$  in its neighbourhood, is inconsistent with the condition  $B = \text{const}$ .

### 3.1. Conformal continuations in $f(R)$ theories

Now, for the theory (1), the CC conditions can be made more precise. A transition surface  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  should correspond to values of  $R$  at which the function  $F(\psi)$  tends to infinity, i.e., where  $f_R = 0$ . In this case, according to (14), near  $\rho = 0$  we have  $f_R^{-2/\bar{d}} \sim r^{-2}$ . Using the expression for  $\psi$  in (4) and Eq. (7), we obtain:  $r \approx \text{const} \cdot \rho^{1/D}$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . According to (10), we also obtain:  $F \sim \rho^{-2/D}$  and the relation  $q \sim \rho^{1-2/D}$  between the coordinates  $\rho$  and  $q$  at their small values. The results can be summarized in the following theorem [10]:

**Theorem 1.** *For a static, spherically symmetric configuration in the theory (1) in  $D \geq 3$  dimensions the following necessary conditions and properties of a CC (at  $\rho = q = 0$ ) take place:*

- (a)  $f(R)$  has an extremum, at which  $f_R = 0$  and  $f_{RR} \neq 0$ ;
- (b)  $dR/dq \neq 0$  at  $q = 0$ , hence the ranges of the curvature  $R$  are different at the two sides of  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ ;
- (c) in the Einstein frame,  $r(\rho) \sim \rho^{1/D}$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (d) in the Jordan frame,  $B(q)$  has a maximum at  $q = 0$ .
- (e) For  $D = 3$ ,  $B(\rho) = B(q) = \text{const}$ .
- (f) A CC-II is only possible for  $D \geq 4$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  is then a double horizon connecting two  $T$  regions.

One can prove [10] that the above necessary conditions are also sufficient for the existence of a CC. This is done by seeking the unknown functions in the field equations in  $\mathbb{M}_J$  in the form of Taylor expansions in  $q$ . Evidently, CC-I are of more general nature than CC-II since the existence of a double horizon is a very special condition for the metric, expressed in the initial condition  $B(0) = 0$  in the field equations.

**Example.** Consider an example of an exact solution to the field equations with CC-I in  $D = 4$ -dimensional space-time. In the Jordan frame  $\mathbb{M}_J$  it is given by the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -a c R + 2c\sqrt{R} = 2c/q - ac/q^2, & r_* &= q, \\ B &= (3q - 2a)/6q^3, & R &= 1/q^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $a, c - \text{const} > 0$ . We take for convenience  $a = 1$ ,  $c = 1$  (choosing the appropriate units). Then  $f_R = 0$  at  $q = q_{\text{trans}} = 1$ .

The Jordan and Einstein metrics are

$$\begin{aligned} ds_J^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3q}\right) dt^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3q}\right)^{-1} dq^2 - q^2 d\Omega^2, \\ ds_E^2 &= |q - 1| ds_J^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus the Jordan-frame metric has a form close to Schwarzschild's, it is singular at the centre  $q = 0$  and has a horizon at  $q = 2/3$ . Its asymptotic is non-flat due to a solid angle deficit equal to  $2\pi$ , i.e., it has the same nature as the asymptotic of a global monopole (as can be easily seen by changing the coordinates from  $t$  and  $q$  to  $\bar{t} = t/\sqrt{2}$  and  $\bar{q} = q\sqrt{2}$ ). In  $\mathbb{M}_E$ , the metric is singular at  $q = 0$  and  $q = 1$  and contains a horizon at  $q = 2/3$ . The manifold  $\mathbb{M}_J$  has two Einstein counterparts  $\mathbb{M}_{E1}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{E2}$ , existing separately for  $q > 1$  and  $q < 1$ . The first of them has a non-flat asymptotic as  $q \rightarrow \infty$  and a naked singularity at the centre ( $q = 1$ ), the other has two singular centres at  $q = 0$  and  $q = 1$ , separated by a horizon at  $q = 2/3$ .

The scalar field and its potential in  $\mathbb{M}_E$  have the form

$$\psi = \pm \sqrt{3/2} \ln |q - 1|, \quad V = -\frac{1}{2} q^{-1} (q - 1)^{-2}.$$

This example is of methodological nature and demonstrates an essential distinction between the descriptions of the theory in the Jordan and Einstein pictures.

### 3.2. Conformal continuations in STT

Assume now that there is a STT (11) given in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ . In this case a CC from  $\mathbb{M}_E$  into  $\mathbb{M}_J$  can occur at such values of the scalar field  $\phi$  that the conformal factor  $F$  is singular while the functions  $f$ ,  $h$  and  $U$  in the action (11) are regular. This means that at  $\phi = \phi_0$ , corresponding to a possible transition surface  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ , the function  $f(\phi)$  has a zero of a certain order  $n$ . We then have in the transformation (13) near  $\phi = \phi_0$  in the leading order of magnitude

$$f(\phi) \sim \Delta\psi^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \Delta\psi \equiv \phi - \phi_0. \quad (19)$$

One can notice, however, that  $n > 1$  leads to  $l(\phi_0) = 0$  (recall that by our convention  $h(\phi) \equiv 1$ ). This generically leads to a curvature singularity in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ , and though such a singularity can be avoided at some special choices of  $f$  and  $U$ , we will ignore this possibility and simply assume  $l > 0$  at  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ .

Thus, according to (13), near  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  ( $\phi = \phi_0$ )

$$f(\phi) \sim \Delta\psi \sim e^{-\psi\sqrt{\bar{d}/(\bar{d}+1)}}, \quad (20)$$

where without loss of generality we choose the sign of  $\psi$  so that  $\psi \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\Delta\psi \rightarrow 0$ .

One can deduce from (20), (14) and (7) that near  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  it holds  $r(\rho) \sim \rho^{1/D}$ . It follows that both  $\Delta\psi$  and  $q$  behave as  $r^{\bar{d}}$  in the neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  hence  $d\psi/dq$  is finite.

**1. Continuation through an ordinary sphere (CC-I).** A CC-I can occur if  $F(\psi) = |f|^{-2/\bar{d}} \sim 1/r^2 \sim 1/A$  as  $\psi \rightarrow \infty$ , while the behaviour of  $f$  is specified by (20). The following theorem is valid [9]:

**Theorem 2.** Consider scalar-vacuum configurations with the metric (9) and  $\phi = \phi(q)$  in the theory (11) with  $h(\phi) \equiv 1$  and  $l(\phi) > 0$ . Suppose that  $f(\phi)$  has a simple zero at some  $\phi = \phi_0$ , and  $|U(\phi_0)| < \infty$ . Then:

- (i) there exists a solution in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ , smooth in a neighbourhood of the surface  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  ( $\phi = \phi_0$ ), which is an ordinary regular surface in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ ;
- (ii) in this solution the ranges of  $\phi$  are different at different sides of  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ .

Thus, in fact, the CC necessary conditions turn out to be sufficient.

**2. Continuation through a horizon in  $\mathbb{M}_J$  (CC-II).** In this case we have near  $q = 0$ :  $f(\phi) \sim \Delta\psi$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(q) = AF \sim q^k$ ,  $r_*^2(q) = Fr^2 = O(1)$ . The following theorem describes the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of CC-II [9]:

**Theorem 3.** Consider scalar-vacuum configurations with the metric (9) and  $\phi = \phi(q)$  in the theory (11) with  $h(\phi) \equiv 1$  and  $l(\phi) > 0$ . Suppose that  $f(\phi)$  has a simple zero at some  $\phi = \phi_0$ . There exists a solution in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ , smooth in a neighbourhood of the surface  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  ( $\phi = \phi_0$ ), which is a Killing horizon in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ , **if and only if**:

- (a)  $D \geq 4$ ,
- (b)  $\phi_0$  is a simple zero of  $U(\phi)$ ,
- (c)  $dU/df > 0$  at  $\phi = \phi_0$ .

Then, in addition,

- (d)  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$  is a second-order horizon, connecting two T-regions in  $\mathbb{M}_J$ ;
- (e) the ranges of  $\phi$  are different at different sides of  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ .

Thus the only kind of STT configurations admitting CC-II is a  $D \geq 4$  Kantowski-Sachs cosmology consisting of two T-regions (in fact, epochs, since  $\rho$  is a temporal coordinate), separated by a second-order horizon.

**Example: Conformal scalar field in GR.** Consider an explicit example of configurations with CC-I for  $D = 4$ . This example is well known [11] and is given here to illustrate the generic character of wormholes appearing due to CC.

The conformal scalar field in GR can be viewed as a special case of STT, such that in (11)

$$f(\phi) = 1 - \phi^2/6, \quad h(\phi) = 1, \quad U(\phi) = 0. \quad (21)$$

After the transformation  $g_{\mu\nu} = F(\phi)\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \sqrt{6} \tanh(\psi + \psi_0)/\sqrt{6}, \\ F(\phi) &= \cosh^2[(\psi + \psi_0)/\sqrt{6}], \quad \psi_0 = \text{const}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

we obtain the action (3) with  $V \equiv 0$ . The corresponding static, spherically symmetric solution is well known: it is Fisher's solution [12]. In terms of the harmonic radial coordinate  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , specified by the condition  $g_{uu} = -g_{tt}(g_{\theta\theta})^2$ , the solution is [11]

$$\begin{aligned} ds_E^2 &= e^{-2mu} dt^2 - \frac{k^2 e^{2mu}}{\sinh^2(ku)} \left[ \frac{k^2 du^2}{\sinh^2(ku)} + d\Omega^2 \right], \\ \psi &= Cu, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $m$  (mass),  $C$  (scalar charge),  $k > 0$  and  $u_0$  are integration constants, and  $k$  is expressed in terms of  $m$  and  $C$ :  $k^2 = m^2 + C^2/2$ .

Another convenient form of the solution is obtained in isotropic coordinates: with  $y = \tanh(ku/2)$ , Eqs. (23) are converted to

$$\begin{aligned} ds_E^2 &= A(y) dt^2 - \frac{k^2(1-y^2)^2}{y^4 A(y)} (dy^2 + y^2 d\Omega^2), \\ A(y) &= \left| \frac{1-y}{1+y} \right|^{2m/k}, \quad \psi = \frac{C}{k} \ln \left| \frac{1+y}{1-y} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The solution is asymptotically flat at  $u \rightarrow 0$  ( $y \rightarrow 0$ ), has no horizon when  $C \neq 0$  and is singular at the centre ( $u \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $y \rightarrow 1-0$ ,  $\psi \rightarrow \infty$ ).

The Jordan-frame solution for (21) is described by the metric  $ds^2 = F(\psi)ds_E^2$  and the  $\phi$  field according to (22). It is the conformal scalar field solution [14, 15], its properties are more diverse and can be described as follows (putting, for definiteness,  $m > 0$  and  $C > 0$ ):

**1.**  $C < \sqrt{6}m$ . The metric behaves qualitatively as in Fisher's solution: it is flat at  $y \rightarrow 0$  ( $u \rightarrow 0$ ), and both  $g_{tt}$  and  $r^2 = |g_{\theta\theta}|$  vanish at  $y = 1$  ( $u \rightarrow \infty$ ) — a singular attracting centre. A difference is that here the scalar field is finite:  $\phi \rightarrow \sqrt{6}$ .

**2.**  $C > \sqrt{6}m$ . Instead of a singular centre, at  $y \rightarrow 1$  ( $u \rightarrow \infty$ ) one has a singularity of infinite radius:  $g_{tt} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . Again  $\phi \rightarrow \sqrt{6}$ .

**3.**  $C = \sqrt{6}m$ ,  $k = 2m$ . Now the metric and  $\phi$  are regular at  $y = 1$ ; it is  $\mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}}$ , and the coordinate  $y$  provides a continuation. The solution acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{(1+yy_0)^2}{1-y_0^2} \left[ \frac{dt^2}{(1+y)^2} - \frac{m^2(1+y)^2}{y^4} (dy^2 + y^2 d\Omega^2) \right], \\ \phi &= \sqrt{6} \frac{y + y_0}{1 + yy_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $y_0 = \tanh(\psi_0/\sqrt{6})$ . The range  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , describing the whole manifold  $\mathbb{M}_E$  in Fisher's solution, corresponds to the range  $0 < y < 1$ , describing only a region  $\mathbb{M}_J'$  of the manifold  $\mathbb{M}_J$  of the solution (25). The properties of the latter depend on the sign of  $y_0$  [11]. In all cases,  $y = 0$  corresponds to a flat asymptotic, where  $\phi \rightarrow \sqrt{6}y_0$ ,  $|y_0| < 1$ .

**3a:**  $y_0 < 0$ . The solution is defined in the range  $0 < y < 1/|y_0|$ . At  $y = 1/|y_0|$ , there is a naked attracting central singularity:  $g_{tt} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $r^2 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \infty$ .

**3b:**  $y_0 > 0$ . The solution is defined in the range  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . At  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , we find another flat spatial infinity, where  $\phi \rightarrow \sqrt{6}/y_0$ ,  $r^2 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $g_{tt}$  tends to a finite limit. This is a *wormhole solution* found in Ref. [11] and recently discussed in Ref. [13].

**3c:**  $y_0 = 0$ ,  $\psi = \sqrt{6}y$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . In this case it is helpful to pass to the conventional coordinate  $r$ :  $y = m/(r - m)$ . The solution is the well-known black hole with a conformal scalar field [14, 15].

The whole manifold  $\mathbb{M}_J$  can be represented as the union  $\mathbb{M}_J = \mathbb{M}_J' \cup \mathbb{S}_{\text{trans}} \cup \mathbb{M}_J''$  where  $\mathbb{M}_J'$  is the region  $y < 1$ , which is, according to (22), in one-to-one correspondence with the manifold  $\mathbb{M}_E$  of the Fisher solution (23). The “antigravitational” ( $f(\psi) < 0$ ) region  $\mathbb{M}_J''$  ( $y > 1$ ) is in similar correspondence with another “copy” of the Fisher solution, where, instead of (22),

$$\phi = \sqrt{6} \coth(\psi/\sqrt{6}), \quad F(\psi) = \sinh^2(\psi/\sqrt{6}). \quad (26)$$

## 4. Concluding remarks

Studying static, spherically symmetric vacuum solutions in two important classes of theories of gravity,  $f(R)$  theories and STT, we have demonstrated that conformal continuations (CC) are quite a widespread phenomenon. It has also been shown [9] that one of generic types of configurations in the Jordan picture in STT, existing due to CC, are traversable wormholes. In  $f(R)$  theory, one can also expect the existence of non-singular vacuum solutions of physical interest.

The results presented here may be extended to electrovacuum solutions of the same classes of theories as well as to solutions of a more general class of theories, unifying these two, with the action

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \left[ f(R, \phi) \pm (\partial\phi)^2 \right], \quad (27)$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary (sufficiently smooth) function of two variables. Such theories also admit a transition to the Einstein picture, but with two scalar fields combined to a kind of sigma model [6]. Different conformal relations between STT and  $f(R)$  theories are also discussed in Ref. [16].

It has been shown that many wormhole solutions with CC in STT are unstable under monopole perturbations, but the instability weakens with growing electric charge [17]. Many questions are yet to be answered before one could judge whether or not configurations with CC can describe the space-times able to exist in nature.

## References

- [1] A.A. Starobinskii, *Phys. Lett.* **91B**, 99 (1980); S.M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M.S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043528 (2004); astro-ph/0306438.
- [2] S. Capozziello, S. Carloni and A. Troisi, in: “Recent Research Developments in Astronomy & Astrophysics” — RSP/AA/21-2003; astro-ph/0303041.
- [3] A.A. Grib, S.G. Mamaev and V.M. Mostepanenko, “Quantum Effects in Strong External Fields”, Moscow, Atomizdat, 1980 (in Russian); N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, “Quantum Fields in Curved Space”, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [4] G. Magnano and L.M. Sokolowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5039 (1994).
- [5] K.A. Bronnikov, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B32**, 3571 (2001); gr-qc/0110125.
- [6] V. Faraoni, E. Gunzig and P. Nardone, *Fundamentals of Cosmic Physics* **20**, 121 (1999).
- [7] K.A. Bronnikov and V.N. Melnikov, in: Proceedings of the 18th Course of the School on Cosmology and Gravitation: The Gravitational Constant. Generalized Gravitational Theories and Experiments (30 April-10 May 2003, Erice), Ed. G.T. Gillies, V.N. Melnikov and V. de Sabbata, Kluwer, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2004, pp. 39–64; gr-qc/0310112.
- [8] K.A. Bronnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 064013 (2001).
- [9] K.A. Bronnikov, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 6096 (2002); gr-qc/0204001.
- [10] K.A. Bronnikov and M.S. Chernakova, gr-qc/0503025; to be published in *Izv. Vuzov, Fiz.*
- [11] K.A. Bronnikov, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B4**, 251–273 (1973).
- [12] I.Z. Fisher, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **18**, 636 (1948).
- [13] C. Barceló and M. Visser, *Phys. Lett.* **466B**, 127 (1999); *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 3843 (2000).
- [14] N.M. Bocharova, K.A. Bronnikov and V.N. Melnikov, *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Fiz. Astron.* 1970, No. 6, 706–709.
- [15] J.D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys. (USA)* **82**, 535 (1974).
- [16] S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, “Dark Energy: the equation of state description versus scalar-tensor or modified gravity”, hep-th/0512118.
- [17] K.A. Bronnikov and S.V. Grinyok, *Grav. & Cosmol.* **10**, 237 (2004), gr-qc/0411063; *Grav. & Cosmol.* **11**, 75 (2005).